2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
10	AT TACOMA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) )	
12	Plaintiff,	) Civil No. C08-5249 FDB	
13	V.	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'	
14	TIMOTHY L. PALMER, DEANNA M. PALMER, THE LAND BOUNTIFUL ONE,	) PETITION FOR SHOWING CAUSE	
15	PIERCE COUNTY ,	) )	
16	Defendants.	) )	
17		<u>)</u>	
18			
19	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Palmers' "Petition For Showing Cause Why		
20	Fiduciaries Should Not Introduce Evidence of Private Settlement Into the Public Record So the Court Can		
21	Effectuate Settlement and Closure" Dkt. # 69. The Court, having reviewed the petition, opposition, and		
22	the record herein, is fully informed and denies the petition for showing cause.		
23	The Palmers' Petition continues to assert to a tax defier theory that has been rejected by		
24	this Court numerous times in this action. Namely, the Palmers contend that they have submitted a		
25	"coupon" and an "acceptance for value" agreeing to pay their tax debts with the money allegedly owed to		
26	them by the United States Treasury The Palme	ers further contend that because the Court did not docket al	

of their tax defier filings , Bruce Rifkin, Clerk of Court for the Western District of Washington, and Adair

27

28

ORDER - 1

	ı
1	В
2	P
3	a
4	p
5	d
6	P
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	1

Boroughs, counsel for the United States, have a duty to introduce these documents into evidence. 1/ The Palmers claim that they have appointed Mr. Rifkin and Ms. Boroughs as fiduciaries, and thus Mr. Rifkin and Ms. Boroughs must introduce the documents that the Court has expressly prohibited the Palmers from filing. Such contentions are obviously frivolous and show blatant disregard for this Court's warnings in its Order Granting Discovery Sanctions. Thus, the Palmers' Petition to show cause is denied.

ACCORDINGLY;

IT IS ORDERED:

The Defendants' Petition For Showing Cause Why Fiduciaries Should Not Introduce Evidence of Private Settlement Into the Public Record So the Court Can Effectuate Settlement and Closure [Dkt. # 69] is **DENIED**.

DATED this 19th day of May, 2009

FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28

<sup>1/</sup> It may be noted that many, if not all, of the tax defier filings submitted by the Palmers have become a part of the record as they were included as exhibits to the government's Motion to Compel a Response to United States' Second Set of Interrogatories. See Dkt. # 62 Exhibits F through R.